

Luxury Group Housing Golf Course Ext. Rd, Gurugram

~5.0-acre residential development • NCR

Go / no-go assessment for land acquisition & build-out

DECISION

CONDITIONAL GO

GDV MARGIN 22.9%

PROJECT IRR ~24%

HURDLE 20% / 22%

SITE AREA ~5.0 acres	SALEABLE AREA ~6.3 L sqft	GDV (BASE) ₹1,764 Cr	TOTAL COST ₹1,360 Cr
PBT (BASE) ~₹404 Cr	MARGIN ON COST 29.7%	PROJECT LIFE ~4.5 yrs	BASE PRICE ₹28,000/sf

Jay Kapoor • Project & Operations

March 2025

FEASIBILITY WORK SAMPLE

I Summary & recommendation

At the underwritten land basis, the project clears our return hurdle — but **only inside a narrow band**. The base case delivers a ~23% GDV margin and ~24% project IRR; the entire margin of safety sits in two variables: the price paid for land and the achievable sales rate. **Recommendation: Conditional Go** — proceed to term sheet subject to the land and pre-sales conditions in §VI.

This corridor has re-rated sharply — Golf Course Extension Road (GCER) new-launch rates moved from ~₹24,900/sqft in 2024 to ~₹37,900/sqft in 2025 — so the demand backdrop is supportive. We deliberately underwrite at a conservative ₹28,000/sqft (well below peak new-launch pricing) so the decision does not depend on the cycle staying hot.

II Project parameters

REPRESENTATIVE BUILD ASSUMPTIONS

PARAMETER	ASSUMPTION	BASIS
Site area	5.0 acres	GCER, Sectors 63–65 belt
Effective FAR	~2.0	Base + purchasable (DTCP)
Saleable area	~6.30 L sqft	FAR area × ~1.45 loading
Product	3 / 4 BHK luxury	Branded-residence positioning
Achievable price (base)	₹28,000/sqft	Conservative vs ₹37,900 new-launch
Gross Development Value	₹1,764 Cr	6.30 L sqft × ₹28,000

III Cost stack & returns

BASE CASE — FULL PROJECT (₹ CR)

LINE ITEM	₹ CR	% OF GDV
Land acquisition	600	34.0%
Construction (6.3 L sqft @ ₹5,000)	315	17.9%
Approvals, EDC / IDC, licensing	120	6.8%
Design & consultants	35	2.0%
Marketing & selling (~5%)	88	5.0%
Finance cost	110	6.2%
Contingency (~3%)	52	3.0%
Admin / overhead	40	2.3%
Total development cost	1,360	77.1%
Profit before tax	404	22.9%

Profit before tax of ~₹404 Cr equates to a **22.9% margin on GDV** and **29.7% on cost**. Levered by customer-advance collections (residential projects fund a large share of

construction from pre-sales), the project IRR works out to **~24%** — above our ~20% GDV-margin / ~22% IRR hurdle, but not by a wide margin.

IV Where the decision actually sits

The go/no-go is not a single number — it is a surface. The grid below stress-tests GDV margin against the two variables that move the outcome: **achievable sales price** and **land cost**. Everything in teal clears the hurdle; the amber and red cells are where the project should be repriced or declined.

GDV MARGIN SENSITIVITY – PRICE × LAND				Hurdle: 20% GDV margin
	LAND ₹550 CR	LAND ₹600 CR	LAND ₹650 CR	
Price ₹30,000/sf	30.3%	27.7%	25.1%	
Price ₹28,000/sf · base	25.7%	22.9%	20.1%	
Price ₹26,000/sf	20.4%	17.3%	14.3%	
Clears hurdle (≥20%) Marginal (17–20%) Below hurdle (<20%) Base case				
Read-through: the project survives a full ₹2,000/sqft price cut or a ₹50 Cr land overrun — but not both at once. The single most important discipline is the entry land price; everything downstream is leveraged off it.				

V Key risks

- K1 Land basis is the swing factor.** Every ₹50 Cr on the land cheque moves GDV margin ~2.8 points. Above ₹650 Cr without pricing upside, the project drifts to the hurdle.
- K2 Price / absorption risk.** GCER has run up fast (₹24,900 → ₹37,900/sqft in a year); a cyclical cool-off toward ₹26,000 breaks the hurdle at higher land cost. Underwrite conservatively, do not chase peak rates.
- K3 Approvals & statutory charges.** Haryana DTCP licensing and EDC/IDC quantum and timing can move materially; front-load due diligence and budget a real contingency.

- K4 Build & cost inflation.** A ~4.5-year luxury high-rise carries delivery and input-cost risk; fix major works early where possible.
- K5 Funding velocity.** The ~24% IRR leans on pre-sales collections; slow launch velocity raises finance cost and compresses returns faster than the P&L suggests.
- K6 Competitive supply.** The corridor is crowded with branded launches (DLF, Smartworld, Birla, M3M); pricing power is positioning-dependent, not guaranteed.

VI Decision & conditions

CONDITIONAL GO — economics clear the hurdle in the base case, but approval is contingent on protecting the two variables that carry the return.

Proceed to term sheet **only if**:

- Land is secured at **≤ ₹600 Cr** (≈ ₹120 Cr/acre); re-trade or walk above ₹650 Cr.
- Underwriting holds the **conservative ₹28,000/sqft** base — peak new-launch pricing is upside, not the plan.
- A phased launch validates pre-sales velocity within the first **6–9 months** before committing full construction capital.

Otherwise: decline, or return with a renegotiated land basis. The deal does not justify stretching on entry price.

Prepared by **Jay Kapoor** · jak581@pitt.edu · [linkedin.com/in/jay-kapoor7](https://www.linkedin.com/in/jay-kapoor7)

Illustrative analytical work sample demonstrating development-feasibility methodology. The site and project figures are representative, not an actual transaction; market parameters (corridor pricing, FAR conventions, statutory charges) are drawn from publicly available NCR data as of early 2025. Assumptions are simplified for clarity and would be refined against verified land terms, sanctioned plans, and detailed costing in a live underwrite. For demonstration only; not investment, development, or financial advice.